# **EXHIBIT 87**

#### Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 928-90 Filed 04/19/23 Page 2 of 9 CONFIDENTIAL

```
1
    ** CONFIDENTIAL **
2
    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3
    NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
    SAN JOSE DIVISION
4
    Case No. 5:20-cv-5146-LHK
5
    ----×
6
    PATRICK CALHOUN, ELAINE CRESPO,
7
   HADIYAH JACKSON and CLAUDIA
    KINDLER, on behalf of all
    others similarly situated,
8
9
            Plaintiffs,
10
11
         - against -
12
13
   GOOGLE LLC,
14
            Defendant.
      -----x
15
                (Caption Continued)
                November 24, 2021
16
                9:06 a.m.
17
                VOLUME II
18
19
        Continued Videotaped Deposition of
20
    ABDELKARIM MARDINI, taken by Plaintiffs,
21
    pursuant to Notice, held via Zoom
22
    videoconference, before Todd DeSimone, a
    Registered Professional Reporter and Notary
23
    Public of the States of New York and New
24
25
    Jersey.
                                      Page 240
```

### Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 928-90 Filed 04/19/23 Page 3 of 9 CONFIDENTIAL

```
1
    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
    NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
    SAN JOSE DIVISION
 3
    Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK
 4
5
    CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,
    JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER
6
    CASTILLO and MONIQUE TRUJILLO,
7
    individually and on behalf of all
    others similarly situated,
8
              Plaintiffs,
9
10
          - against -
11
12
    GOOGLE LLC,
13
              Defendant.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
                                            Page 241
```

#### Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 928-90 Filed 04/19/23 Page 4 of 9 CONFIDENTIAL

```
1
    APPEARANCES:
2
    BLEICHMAR FONTI & AULD LLP
    555 12th Street
3
    Suite 1600
    Oakland, California 94607
         Attorneys for Plaintiffs
4
         in Calhoun case
5
    BY: LESLEY E. WEAVER, ESQ.
          lweaver@bfalaw.com
6
7
8
    DICELLO LEVITT GUTZLER LLC
    One Grand Central Place
9
    60 East 42nd Street
    Suite 2400
    New York, New York 10165
10
         Attorneys for Plaintiffs
11
         in Calhoun case
    BY: DAVID A. STRAITE, ESQ.
          dstraite@dicellolevitt.com
12
13
1 4
15
    SIMMONS HANLY CONROY LLC
    112 Madison Avenue
16
    7th Floor
    New York, New York 10016
17
         Attorneys for Plaintiffs
         in Calhoun case
         JASON "JAY" BARNES, ESQ.
18
    BY:
          jaybarnes@simmonsfirm.com
19
         AN TRUONG, ESQ.
          atruong@simmonsfirm.com
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                          Page 242
```

#### Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 928-90 Filed 04/19/23 Page 5 of 9 CONFIDENTIAL

```
1
    A P P E A R A N C E S: (Continued)
2
    BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
    44 Montgomery Street
    41st Floor
3
    San Francisco, California 94104
4
          Attorneys for Plaintiffs in
          Brown Case
5
    BY:
          BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ.
           brichardson@bsfllp.com
6
          ERIKA NYBORG-BURCH, ESQ.
            enyborg-burch@bsfllp.com
7
8
9
    QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP
    191 North Wacker Drive
10
    Suite 2700
    Chicago, Illinois 60606
11
         Attorneys for Defendant
    BY: ANDREW H. SCHAPIRO, ESO.
12
          andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com
         TEUTA FANI, ESQ.
13
          teutafani@quinnemanuel.com
1 4
15
    ALSO PRESENT:
16
17
      MATTHEW GUBIOTTI, Google LLC
      MARC FRIEDMAN, Videographer
18
19
20
21
22
2.3
24
25
                                          Page 243
```

#### Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 928-90 Filed 04/19/23 Page 6 of 9 CONFIDENTIAL

```
1
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.
2
    We are going on the record at 9:06 a.m.
3
    eastern standard time on Wednesday,
    November 24th, 2021. Please silence your
4
5
    cell phones, computer tone or any other
6
    electronic devices you have near you.
    Audio and video recording will continue to
    take place unless all parties agree to go
8
    off the record.
9
                This is media unit number one
10
11
    of the video-recorded deposition of
12
    AbdelKarim Mardini, Volume II. The caption
13
    of this case is Patrick Calhoun, et al.,
    versus Google LLC. This case is filed in
14
15
    the United States District Court, Northern
16
    District of California, San Jose Division,
17
    case number 5:20-CV-5146. Second caption,
    Chasom Brown, et al., versus Google LLC.
18
    This case is also filed in the United
19
20
    States District Court, Northern District of
21
    California, San Jose Division, case number
    5:20-CV-03664. This is also Volume II of
22
23
    Mr. Mardini's testimony.
24
                My name is Marc Friedman, I'm
25
    your certified video legal specialist, your
                                         Page 244
```

#### Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 928-90 Filed 04/19/23 Page 7 of 9 CONFIDENTIAL

1 do that means that we need to tell the 2 Google back end that user is in incognito, 3 and obviously this would not work because 4 many users use incognito to segment or 5 separate their identities. 6 Does this meeting with Mardini, does this concern discussions about sending 7 8 a signal to Google to delete logs from 9 incognito browsing at the end of the incognito browsing? 10 11 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 12 foundation, calls for speculation, asked 13 and answered. 1 4 I don't remember honestly what Α. 15 was discussed in this specific meeting. 16 Ο. Do you recall any discussions 17 regarding sending a signal to Google to 18 delete logs from incognito browsing? 19 Α. Yes. 20 0. What do you recall? 21 I recall discussing the pros Α. 22 and cons and the different ways that we can 23 do that while maintaining consistency in 24 our position about not letting websites 25 know whether user is in incognito or not. Page 379

## Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 928-90 Filed 04/19/23 Page 8 of 9 CONFIDENTIAL

1	Q. Did Google ever implement that
2	change to send a signal to Google to delete
3	logs from incognito browsing?
4	MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection,
5	mischaracterizes the testimony.
6	A. Not to my knowledge.
7	Q. But that was something that was
8	considered, correct?
9	MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection to the
10	form.
11	A. Yes, it was something that was
12	considered and had major issues with it.
13	Q. And that was separate sorry.
14	A. I said it is definitely
15	something that was considered but it had
16	major issues with it regarding how
17	incognito works, Chrome incognito works.
18	Q. And that was separate from
19	blocking sign-in while in incognito,
20	correct?
21	A. It is under the same umbrella.
2 2	I wouldn't say it is separate, except that
23	sending a signal can happen at the
2 4	beginning of the incognito session or it
25	can happen after you end the incognito
	Page 380

#### Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 928-90 Filed 04/19/23 Page 9 of 9 CONFIDENTIAL

```
1
    session, whereas if you want to block
    signing in, it needs to definitely be in
2
3
    the beginning.
4
                 MR. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON: Let's
5
    mark Exhibit 46, GOOG-BRWN-00182492.
                 (Mardini Exhibit 46 marked for
6
7
    identification.)
8
                 Mr. Mardini, would you please
9
    let me know when you have Exhibit 46 in
10
    front of you.
11
                 Exhibit 46, I see it now.
         Α.
12
    me double click to open it. Yes, I opened
13
    it.
                Mr. Mardini, is Exhibit 46 an
14
         0.
15
    e-mail you sent as part of your work for
16
    Google?
17
         Α.
                 It appears to be, yes.
                 And if you look down at the
18
         Q.
    bottom half do you see there is the "FWIW,
19
    this is what I sent Anil/Parisa/ Margret"?
20
21
         Α.
                 Yes.
22
                 And so there is three bullets
         Ο.
23
    there, correct, one is "blocking signing-in
24
    while in incognito, " the second is "sending
25
    signal to web properties to indicate user
                                          Page 381
```